price Control which are Governed by the provisions of DPCO, 1995.

Statement

Location of the Public Sector Undertakings in Pharmaceutical Industry Sector

Name of the PSU	Location of Units
I. Indian Dings & Pharmaceuticals Limited, Gurgaon, Haryana	Gurgaon (Haryana) Hyderabad(AP) Rishikesh (UP) 2 Wholly owned Subsidiaries at Chennai (Tamil Nadu)& Muzaffarpur (Bihar)
II. Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. Pimpri, Pune	Pimpri, Pune Maharashtra
III. Bengal Immunity Ltd. Calcutta, West Bengal	Calcutta, (WB) Dehradun (UP)
IV. Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Calcutta, West Bengal	Maniktala, Calcutta (WB) Panihati Calcutta (WB) Mumbai (Maharashtra) Kanpur, (UP)
V. Smithstanistreet Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Calcutta, West Bengal	Calcutta, (WB)

उड़ीसा, मध्यप्रदेश और गुजरात में नए उर्वरक संयंत्र

1985. **श्री चीमनभाई हरीभाई शुक्ल** : क्या रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगें किः

(क) उड़ीसा, मध्य प्रदेश और गुजरात में प्रस्तावित नए उर्वरक संयंत्रों के लिए चालू वर्ष के दौरान कितना वित्तीय आवंटन किया गया हैं;

(ख) उक्त प्रत्येक उर्वरक संयंत्र संबंधी निर्माण कार्य, भूमि खरीद इत्यादि से संबंधित स्थिति क्या हैं;

(ग) उक्त संयंत्रों के कब तक चालू हो जाने की संभावना हैं; और

(घ) वर्ष ९९८-९९ के दौरान स्थापित किए गए जाने वाले नए संयंत्रों और उनके लिए आवंटित धनराशि संबंधी अद्यतन विस्तृत ब्यौरा क्या हैं?

रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (डा. ए के पटेल) : (क) से (ग) इस समय, उड़ीसा, मध्य प्रदेश तथा गुजरात राज्यों में केन्द्रीय सार्वजानिक क्षेत्र के नए उर्वरक संयंत्रों को स्थापित करने का कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं हैं।

(घ) इंडियन फार्मर्स फर्टिलाइजर कोआपरेटिव लिमिटेड (इफ्क्रो) तथा कृषक भारती कोआपरेटिव लि. (कृभको) ने क्रमशः नेल्लोर (आं.प्रा.) तथा गोरखपुर (उ.प्र.) में नए यूरिया संयंत्र की स्थापना करने के लिए परियोजना प्रस्तावों को निवेश अनुमोदन के लिए प्रस्तुत किया हैं। चूंकि ये परियोजनाएं बिना किसी बजटीय सहायता के स्थापित करने का प्रस्ताव हैं। अतः वर्ष १९९८-९९ के बजट में उनके लिए निधियों का प्रावधान नहीं किया गया हैं।

Shifting of Pollution Causing Units from Kachhona Town in U.P.

1986. SHRI MOHINDAR SINGH KALYAN: Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have taken any follow up action for execution of their orders contained in their letter No. 016016/19/93-CPA dated 25th August, 1993 and in the letters of U.P. Pollution Control Board by the District Magistrate, Hardoi (UP) regarding shifting of pollution causing units as stated therein; and

(b) if so, why the said units have not yet been shifted from the said town KACHHONA wherein the Board has clearly indicated the erring units of causing pollution and running without airconsent from the Board?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OFENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI BABU LAL MARANDI): (a) and (b) Consequent to the letter issued by the Ministry of Environment & Forests on 25.08.1993, the State Government initiated proceedings under Section 133 CRPC and directions were issued by S.D.M., Sandila, Hardoi to the concerned units. Earlier against the U.P. Pollution Control Board's order, a Writ Petition was filed in the Hon'ble High Court, Lucknow by the concerned units and the same is still pending in the Court.

As the matter is subjudice, no further action could be taken by the Government.

Scheme to connect Ernakulam and Vypeen Islands

1987. SHRI J. CHITHARANJAN: Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received a revised scheme from the Government of Kerala reducing the area to the reclaimed to 25 hectares and building bridges connecting Ernakulam and Vypeen Islands; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and Government's decision thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI BABU LAL MARANDI): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The revised scheme submitted by Government of Kerala envisages construction of three bridges and reclamation of 25 hectares of land to be used for construction of roads and for commercial purposes. Ministry of Enviornment and Forests have informed Government of Kerala that they do not have any objection to construction of bridges subject to the condition that reclaimed land will not be used for any commercial purposes.

Air and water pollution caused by fertilizer plants

1988. SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Will the Minister ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have identified the fertilizer plants causing water and air pollution in the country;

(b) if so, the action initiated by Government against these fertilizer plants; and

(c) the specific steps proposed to be taken by Government to stop water and air pollution?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI BABU LAL MARANDI): (a) Out of a total of 110 fertiliser plants set up before 31st December, 1991, 6 units are not having adequate pollution control facilities. The fertiliser plants established after 1st January, 1992 are provided with necessary pollution control systems.

(b) and (c) Out of the 6 defaulting units, the matter is subjudice in respect of 2 units and others have been issued Notices under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for providing a time bound programme for installing the pollution control facilities. One of the defaulting units has claimed to have installed requisite pollution control equipment recently which is under verification by the central Pollution Control Board (CPCB).

Proposal for the Clearance of ONG Dam Project

1989. SHRI SANATAN BISI: Will the Minister ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of Orissa has submitted the proposal for clearance in respect of Ong Dam Project at Pujhanpali, District Bargarh;

(b) whether clearance was accorded to the proposal in respect of Chiroli; and

(c) if so, the detials thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI BABU LAL MARANDI): (a) to (c) Government of Orissa had submitted a proposal in May, 1988 for diversion of 237.90 hactare of forest land for construction of Ong Dam Irrigation Project in Sambalpur District The proposal was examined by the Ministry under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Government of Orissa was asked to furnish certain additional information vide letter dated 2.12.1988. Site inspection of the area involved was also carried out by Regional Office, Bhubaneshwar. However, formal decision under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 are held up because of the fact that the proposal has been rejected from environmental angle due to non-submission of Environment Impact Assessment and Environment Management Plan reports. No formal proposal regarding Chiroli Project has been received for permission under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.